Use of 2-Aminopurine and Tryptophan Fluorescence as Probes in Kinetic Analyses of DNA Polymerase β†
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ABSTRACT: Although the use of 2-aminopurine (2-AP) as a probe in stopped-flow analyses of DNA polymerase β (Pol β) had provided important mechanistic insight, the conditions used were limited by the location of 2-AP and the use of a combination of tryptophan (Trp) and 2-AP fluorescence. This study examined different DNA substrates to identify several factors that can affect the observed signal in stopped-flow experiments. Both Trp and 2-AP emissions were separately excited and monitored. It was found that both probes show a fast phase and a slow phase of fluorescence changes, but the direction and the amplitude vary greatly between the two probes and between different DNA substrates. Detailed analyses suggested that the location of 2-AP in the template has a significant impact on the fluorescence properties of 2-AP and that a location opposite the incoming dNTP, which has been used in all such studies in the past, is not optimal. In particular, the results show that placing 2-AP one base after the templating base greatly enhances the signal intensity, which suggests a significant change in base stacking interactions at this position during nucleotide incorporation. These results allowed us to derive an improved set of conditions which were then used to reevaluate results from previous reports. It also allows greater freedom in the type of base pairs studied, since 2-AP is not the templating base in the nascent base pair. Kinetic constants were determined for dNTP and catalytic Mg2+. The results obtained from stopped-flow experiments were compared to results from chemical quench. Stopped flow of incorrect dNTP incorporation and the reverse reaction are also reported, which provide useful information to the mechanism of Pol β.

Understanding how DNA polymerases control their substrate specificities has been the subject of extensive research, as described in several recent reviews (2–5). A two-metal ion mechanism has been proposed to be common for all families of nucleotide polymerases (6, 7). Among the large number of polymerases known to date, mammalian DNA polymerase β (Pol β) is one of a few which lack intrinsic exonuclease activity and is thus an ideal model for studying the detailed mechanism of enzymatic DNA polymerization. It has been investigated extensively by X-ray crystallography (8–12), kinetic analyses (13–17), site-directed mutagenesis (1, 18–23), and stopped-flow studies (9, 21, 24, 25).

Earlier crystal structures of Pol β (8, 10, 11) show a large (subdomain-closing) conformational change upon binding Mg2+-dNTP and the catalytic Mg2+. In our previous stopped-flow studies of Pol β, two phases of fluorescence change were observed (Figure 1A) (9, 24, 25). By using a chain-terminated primer DNA that prevents chemistry from occurring, we have shown that the fast phase occurs before chemistry and the slow phase is limited by chemistry (Figure 1B). We assigned the fast phase to the subdomain-closing conformational change since stopped-flow results show that the fast phase is induced by binding of Cr(III)dNTP (25), and the intermediate crystal structure of the Pol β-DNA-Cr(III)dNTP complex (the state prior to binding of the catalytic Mg2+) obtained by us indicates that this complex already exists in the closed state (9). Since these results and interpretations contradicted the generally accepted theory that the catalysis of DNA polymerases involves a rate-limiting conformational change (2, 4, 11, 22), it is important to further evaluate the stopped-flow results with improved conditions.

Previous stopped-flow studies of Pol β used 2-aminopurine (2-AP), a fluorescent analogue of adenine and guanine, as a spectroscopic probe to observe conformational changes along the reaction pathway (9, 21, 24–26). In these assays, 2-AP serves as the template base, allowing a pseudo-Watson–Crick base pair to be formed upon the addition of TTP or dCTP. Stopped-flow studies employing 2-AP at this location suffer many limitations: (i) under these conditions, only the incorporation of pyrimidines can be examined; (ii) the study of purine incorporation and pyrimidine–pyrimidine mismatches are not possible; (iii) the use of a pseudo-Watson–Crick base pair (2-AP•T) is always open to criticism on the grounds that the results are not representative of a true Watson–Crick base pair (A•T); (iv) at the template base position, 2-AP does not provide the optimal signal-to-noise ratio.
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Another limitation in all our previous stopped-flow studies is that the detection of fluorescence signals relied upon a combination of 2-aminopurine (2-AP) and tryptophan (Trp) fluorescence, since this offered the greatest signal-to-noise ratio under the reaction conditions (9, 21, 24, 25). An intermediate excitation wavelength (290 nm) was used (the excitation maxima are 312 nm for 2-AP and 285 nm for Trp), and all emission above 320 nm was collected (the emission maxima are 362 nm for 2-AP and 340 nm for Trp). Such conditions have the potential to complicate the analysis, if the two fluorophores do not report the same processes. For example, a physical event may alter the fluorescence of one probe but not the other or may enhance the emission of one probe while quenching emission of the other.

As a continuation of our previous studies, this work examined the conditions for stopped-flow analyses, aiming at improving signal intensity and increasing the versatility of the dNTP studied. The results indicate that placing 2-AP one base below the nascent base pair results in a dramatic improvement in the signal-to-noise ratio and placing 2-AP two bases below the nascent base pair offers an acceptable signal-to-noise ratio and greater flexibility in the variety of base pairs that could be studied. These two conditions were then used to reinvestigate most of the stopped-flow experiments reported previously, including those of the incorrect dNTP and the reverse reaction.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Materials.** Ultrapure dNTPs and G-25 microspin columns were purchased from Pharmacia. Radiolabeled [γ-32P]ATP was purchased from ICN biomedicals. T4 polynucleotide kinase was obtained from New England Biolabs. C18 cartridges were obtained from Waters Corp. All other chemicals used were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich. Pol β was purified as previously described (23) from an overexpressing Escherichia coli system, BL21(DE3)(plysS, pET17-Pol β). The enzyme concentration was determined by using a molar absorption coefficient of 21 200 M−1 cm−2 at 280 nm (35). The enzyme was estimated to be >95% homogeneous on the basis of SDS−PAGE analysis developed using the silver staining method (36).

**DNA Substrates.** Custom synthesized oligomers were purchased from Integrated DNA Technologies (Coralville, IA). The oligomers were further purified by 18% (w/v) polyacrylamide/7 M urea gels. The appropriate bands were identified by UV shadowing on a fluorescent TLC plate and excised from the gel. The gel fragments were extracted with 100 mM triethylamine acetate and 1 mM EDTA. The extract was subsequently desalted with a C18 cartridge and eluted using methanol−water (60:40). After removal of the solvent with vacuum, the oligomers were resuspended in 50 mM KCl, and the concentration was determined by UV using a calculated molar absorption coefficient (37). The oligomers were then stored at −20 °C.

DNA substrates used in the chemical quench experiments were 5′-end labeled using T4 polynucleotide kinase and [γ-32P]ATP (4500 Ci/mol) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Labeled DNA was separated from unreacted ATP and Mg2+ using a G-25 microspin column with a small amount of Chelex 100 resin added to it. The T4 polynucleotide kinase was inactivated by heating at 65 °C for 20 min. Substrate annealing was as follows: labeled primer was added to the appropriate unlabeled template, heated to 80 °C, and then slowly cooled to room temperature.

DNA substrates used in the stopped-flow experiments were mixed 1:1 (primer/template), then annealed by heating to 80 °C, and then slowly cooled to room temperature. Some substrates used in stopped-flow assays contained primers terminated with a dideoxynucleotide and are signified by a (dd) in their nomenclature (i.e., 18dd/36AP). The oligos terminated with a dideoxynucleotide were obtained commercially from Integrated DNA Technologies (Coralville, IA).
and were synthesized using standard phosphoramidite techniques.

**Chemical Quench Experiments.** A rapid quench instrument (KinTek Instrument Corp., State College, PA) was used for reaction times ranging from 5 ms to 20 s. Pol β and DNA were preincubated for 5 min before rapid mixing with dNTP and Mg2+. The assay buffer used in all experiments contained 50 mM Tris, 50 mM KCl, 10% (v/v) glycerol, and 1 mM DTT, at pH 8.0. The typical assay was conducted at 37 °C using 100 nM DNA, 300 nM Pol β, and assay buffer at pH 8.0 and quenched using 300 mM EDTA (all final concentrations). The reaction products were then mixed 1:1 with formamide and loaded on an 18% (v/v) polyacrylamide/7 M urea gel. The disappearance of substrate and the formation of product were analyzed with a STORM 840 PhosphorImager (Molecular Dynamics). Assays were typically performed in triplicate and the results averages.

**Stopped-Flow Fluorescence Assays.** Experiments were performed on an Applied Photophysics SX 18MV stopped-flow apparatus. The excitation wavelengths were 285 and 315 nm for tryptophan and 2-aminopurine, respectively, with a spectral band-pass of 4 nm. Emission was monitored using a 340 nm band-pass filter (Corion, 10 nm) for tryptophan and a 360 nm high-pass filter (Corion) for 2-aminopurine. The assay buffer used in all experiments contained 50 mM Tris, 50 mM KCl, 10% (v/v) glycerol, and 1 mM DTT, at pH 8.0. A typical experiment would consist of the following: syringe A, 200 nM DNA with 2-AP in the template, 400 nM Pol β, assay buffer, and 8 mM MgCl2 at pH 8.0 and 37 °C; syringe B, 400 μM dNTP, 8 mM MgCl2, and assay buffer at pH 8.0 and 37 °C. In every experiment, both syringes contained solutions with 10% glycerol to prevent problems related to viscosity. Nucleotide dependence experiments were performed with saturating Mg2+ (10 mM). Mg2+ dependence experiments were performed with saturating dNTP (300 μM). All buffers were filtered with a 0.45 μm filter and degassed prior to use. Typically, a minimum of 10 trials would be performed and averaged. For presentation purposes (easier to compare and visualize), some of the fluorescence profiles presented here were collected for 1 s with a sampling frequency of 1 kHz. Profiles were typically collected in dual time frame mode (with each time frame corresponding to the length of a given phase) with 500 data points in each time frame. The results for each case were the same.

**Data Analysis.** Data obtained from kinetic assays were fitted by nonlinear regression using Sigma Plot software (Jandel Scientific) with the appropriate equations. The apparent burst rate constant ($k_{ob}$) for each particular concentration of ligand (dNTP or Mg2+) was determined by fitting the time courses for the formation of product with the equation:

\[
\text{[product]} = A_1[1 - \exp(-k_{obs1}t)] + A_2[1 - \exp(-k_{obs2}t)] + \ldots + C \quad (1)
\]

where $A$ represents the burst amplitude and $C$ is an offset constant for stopped-flow experiments. The turnover number ($k_{max}$) and apparent dissociation constant for a ligand ($K_{d,app}$) were then obtained by plotting the rate constants ($k_{obs}$) against the ligand concentrations ([L]) and fitting the data with the hyperbolic equation:

\[
k_{obs} = k_{max}[L]/(K_{d,app} + [L])
\]

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Effects of the Location of 2-AP on the Stopped-Flow Analyses of Pol β Catalysis.** A series of DNA substrates were produced as shown in Figure 2A. The template strand, a 36mer with 2-AP at position 16 (’5 ’-3 ’), is the same as that used in previous studies (9, 21, 24, 25). The primer strand varies from 18 to 21 nucleotides, placing 2-AP at positions ranging from +2 to −1 relative to the nascent base pair. The resulting primer/template substrates are named 18/36AP, 19/26AP, 20/36AP, and 21/36AP, respectively. Figure 2B shows the relative location of 2-AP in the active site of Pol β for the various primer/template pairs.

These substrates were first subjected to stopped-flow analysis of nucleotide incorporation catalyzed by Pol β under conditions used previously, with the exception that both 2-AP and Trp emissions were separately excited and monitored, as described in the Materials and Methods section. Briefly, fluorescence emission is monitored as a function of time following rapid mixing of the Pol β-DNA complex with dNTP (with 8 mM Mg2+ present in both solutions). An enzyme-DNA ratio of 2:1 was chosen to form the Pol β-DNA complex. At this ratio, there is sufficient enzyme to reasonably saturate the DNA substrate (23) but limit the formation of a 2:1 complex (Pol β-DNA), which is known to occur and could possibly complicate the analysis (38, 39). Another consideration in choosing the enzyme-DNA ratio is the use of two fluorophores. While 2-AP is the primary fluorophore, Trp fluorescence is also desired. A large excess of Pol β would reduce the signal-to-noise ratio in the Trp experiments by adding a large fluorescence background. A compromise was made so that both fluorophores could be examined under identical conditions. Under these conditions DNA release (steady-state rates ~0.2–0.6 s−1) is known to be much slower than product formation (~10–18 s−1), allowing us to perform these experiments under single turnover conditions. These results are similar to those previously reported by our laboratory on similar primer/template substrates (23).

As shown in Figure 3, the results in most cases show two phases of time-dependent fluorescence change, consistent with previous results shown in Figure 1A. However, the four different DNA substrates give rise to very different signals for both 2-AP and Trp. Specifically affected are signal-to-noise ratios, direction of fluorescence change, and relative emission amplitudes of the two phases. In one case, the fluorescence change is so small as to be unobservable (Figure 3D). The most sensitive location in terms of the signal-to-noise ratio was the 19/36AP substrate (Figure 3B) with 2-AP fluorescence, offering an approximately 20-fold improvement over other conditions as explained in the legend. A comparison between the 19/36AP substrate and the 18/36AP substrate under the same conditions and scale is provided in the inset of Figure 3B. On the basis of previous analyses as described in the introduction and the updated kinetic scheme of Pol β as shown in Scheme 1 (9), the fast phase can be assigned to the change from the E-DNA binary complex (the open form) to the closed ternary complex E-DNA-N or E-DNA-N-M, where N represents MgNTP and M represents the catalytic Mg2+. The slow phase reflects the events after
A 18/36AP  
5′ - GCC TCG CAG CCG TCC AAC  
3′ - CGG AGC GTC GGC AGG TTG GTÅ TCA GTO GAG TTA GTT  
19/36AP  
5′ - GCC TCG CAG CCG TCC AAC C  
3′ - CGG AGC GTC GGC AGG TTG GTÅ TCA GTO GAG TTA GTT  
20/36AP  
5′ - GCC TCG CAG CCG TCC AAC CA  
3′ - CGG AGC GTC GGC AGG TTG GTÅ TCA GTO GAG TTA GTT  
21/36AP  
5′ - GCC TCG CAG CCG TCC AAC CAT  
3′ - CGG AGC GTC GGC AGG TTG GTÅ TCA GTO GAG TTA GTT

B

**Figure 2:** (A) Sequences of DNA substrates. Å represents 2-aminopurine. (B) Relative location of 2-AP for the DNA substrates shown in (A). The conformation of DNA is based on the structure of DNA bound to Pol β (11).

the chemical step. In the rest of the paper, we describe additional experiments to show that the use of different substrates and different experimental conditions has led to confirmation of previous results from our laboratory and also provide additional insight in understanding the catalytic mechanism of Pol β.

**New Insight from Trp Fluorescence.** The rat Pol β used in our studies has only one tryptophan residue, Trp325, located 10 residues from the C-terminus. On the basis of crystal structures, this Trp residue is not directly involved in DNA or dNTP binding. It lies on the surface of the fingers subdomain [using the nomenclature of Steitz (40)], which undergoes a large conformational change upon dNTP binding. Thus it can serve as a reporter for conformational changes of the protein, but its fluorescence should be insensitive to the location of the 2-AP probe. As shown in Figure 3, in three of the four experiments (except 19/36AP in Figure 3B), the Trp fluorescence showed two phases of fluorescence change, a fast and decreasing phase followed by a slow and increasing phase similar to the curve shown in Figure 1A. In these three cases, a control experiment in which 2-AP was replaced in the template with adenosine showed the same result and verified that the Trp fluorescence was unaffected by the presence of 2-AP.

The Trp fluorescence of the 19/36AP experiment differs from those of the other experiments in that it shows two decreasing phases. It is also unique in that, in a control experiment where 2-AP is replaced by adenosine, the amplitude of the second phase became smaller. The rate of the second phase is the same for both substrates (~15 s⁻¹). We believe that this effect is caused by binding of the dNTP to the product of the reaction (in this case, 20/36AP) and forming the ternary complex of the mismatch (in this case, 2-AP-A). To test this possibility, we performed separate stopped-flow assays with 20/36AP to study the incorporation of mismatched nucleotide dATP. As shown in Figure 4, the fluorescence decreases upon formation of the 2-AP-A ternary complex, which occurs at a rate of ~130 s⁻¹, ca. 10 times faster than the formation of the 20/36AP product (the second phase of Figure 3B). On the other hand, the rate of formation of the next product (21/36AP, with 2-AP-A mismatch) is very slow (~0.01 s⁻¹), similar to that previously reported (24). It is important to note that the change in fluorescence is the result of dATP binding to the Pol β 20/36AP complex and not the incorporation of dAMP, which occurs too slowly at the time scale of these stopped-flow experiments (1 s). These results show that, for the 19/36AP substrate, rather than observing the conversion of substrate to product, we observed the conversion of substrate to product to the ternary complex of the next mismatch at equilibrium. Nucleotide dependence assays showed a $K_{d,app}$ of ~150 μM for the 2-AP-A base pair (suggesting that ca. 70% of the mismatched ternary complex could exist in the conditions used), while the $K_{d,app}$ of the A-A base pair was previously reported as 290 μM, using a similar substrate (24). The differences in $K_{d,app}$ for 2-AP-A and A-A explain the difference in fluorescence between the 2-AP-containing substrate and the control substrate. This effect is not as prominent in the other substrates (ca. 10% or less of ternary complexes could be present) because the $K_{d,app}$’s of the next mismatches are substantially higher (18/36AP, T-C, 630 μM; 20/36AP, T-T, 820 μM; 21/36AP, C-A, 890 μM) (24).

The results of these analyses suggest that, in designing substrates and the experimental conditions for stopped-flow studies, the possibility of formation of a mismatched complex as described above should be minimized and should also be considered in the interpretation of the results. The results also suggest that the Trp fluorescence can be used as an independent probe for the conformational change of Pol β during the catalytic cycle; use of 2-AP-containing DNA is not necessary when the fluorescence of Trp is to be monitored. However, 2-AP is a more general probe since it can be placed at specific positions and since most proteins have more than one tryptophan residue that could complicate the analyses. We therefore devote the rest of the paper to analyses and interpretation of 2-AP fluorescence.

**New Insight from 2-AP Fluorescence.** As shown in Figure 3, the four 2-AP fluorescence curves show different shapes and different signal-to-noise ratios, much more so than the four Trp fluorescence curves. At first we considered whether the 2-AP fluorescence curve in Figure 3B was significantly influenced by the formation of mismatched ternary complexes as described in the previous section for Trp fluorescence. This appears not to be the case, since the change in the 2-AP fluorescence from the binary product complex to the mismatched ternary complex is very small (as suggested by the small amplitude of the first phase of the 2-AP curve of the 20/36AP substrate shown in Figure 3C) relative to...
the large change in fluorescence associated with binary product formation shown in Figure 3B.

The next factor to consider is the effect of the location of the 2-AP probe. As shown in Figure 2B, the 2-AP probe located in different sites of DNA could experience different effects during the reaction process. The 18/36AP substrate, which places 2-AP two nucleotides downstream of the nascent base pair, will report on changes more distant from the active site. As shown in Figure 3A, it shows a biphasic emission curve similar to the previous results shown in Figure 1A but with an opposite fluorescence intensity. As shown in Figure 2B, the template position occupied by 2-AP in 19/36AP is highly distorted, with a 90° kink in the backbone. It therefore seems likely that the base at this position would experience dramatic changes in base stacking interactions during the course of a single turnover. In support of this prediction, the 19/36AP substrate yielded the greatest signal-to-noise ratio for 2-AP fluorescence emission (Figure 3B; due to the large differences in signal intensity, Trp and 2-AP signals were plotted on different Y-axes). The inset of Figure 3B shows the enhancement of signal strength with 19/36AP vs 18/36AP; the former is nearly 20–25-fold greater than the latter. The 20/36AP substrate places 2-AP at the position opposite the incoming nucleotide. This is the substrate that has been used in all previously reported experiments using 2-AP fluorescence to study DNA polymerase reactions.
(9, 21, 24, 25). As shown in Figure 3C, 20/36AP yields a weaker signal-to-noise ratio for 2-AP fluorescence than do 18/36AP and 19/36AP. However, the 2-AP fluorescence changes have the same direction as the Trp fluorescence changes, explaining the improvement in signal observed in our previous stopped-flow studies in which 2-AP and Trp fluorescence were partially combined. The 21/36AP substrate places 2-AP in the duplex DNA immediately upstream of the nascent base pair. In this location, in contrast to the other three examined, 2-AP undergoes no fluorescence change during the reaction, as shown in Figure 3D. This suggests that the upstream duplex DNA is relatively static and that π-stacking interactions between this base and the templating base are largely unaltered throughout the course of a single turnover.

These results show that the 19/36AP substrate is an excellent reporter under these conditions. However, this location may not be ideal for studying pyrimidine incorporation, since the next base (2-AP) can pair with pyrimidines and be rapidly incorporated (24), making it impossible to study single base incorporation. It also has limitations if Trp fluorescence is also desired. The 18/36AP substrate, however, is less sensitive but should be able to accommodate any base pair desired. It also has acceptable signal-to-noise ratios for both 2-AP and Trp fluorescence. These two DNA substrates are therefore used in additional assays in the rest of this paper.

**Effects of Mg$^{2+}$ in 2-AP Stopped-Flow Fluorescence Experiments.** In this section we examine the effect of Mg$^{2+}$ on the 2-AP fluorescence curves in stopped-flow experiments. Our studies were performed with the 18/36AP and 19/36AP substrates. We first examined the effect of Mg$^{2+}$ in the absence of dNTP. Figure 5 shows that adding Mg$^{2+}$ to a solution of Pol β and 19/36AP causes a fast decrease in fluorescence. A similar effect is seen with the 18/36AP substrate (data not shown). This effect was eliminated in later experiments by maintaining equal concentrations of Mg$^{2+}$ in both syringes for the remaining experiments.

We then examined the effect of Mg$^{2+}$ on nucleotide incorporation. As shown in Figure 6A, the 18/36AP substrate displays an unusual phenomenon in its Mg$^{2+}$ dependence: the directions of both the fast and slow phases reverse as the Mg$^{2+}$ concentration increases. At low Mg$^{2+}$ concentrations (0–5 mM) the fast phase is decreasing and the slow phase is increasing and vice versa at higher Mg$^{2+}$ concentrations (>5 mM). On the other hand, the 19/36AP substrate does not show a change in the direction of fluorescence with increasing [Mg$^{2+}$], as shown in Figure 6B. The previously studied 20/36AP substrate also does not show a change in the direction of fluorescence with increasing [Mg$^{2+}$] (24). These results indicate that the concentration of Mg$^{2+}$ has a large effect on both fluorescence intensity and direction of fluorescence changes in stopped-flow analysis of the reaction catalyzed by Pol β. Furthermore, the effect of Mg$^{2+}$ depends on the location of 2-AP in the DNA substrate used. The nature of this effect remains unresolved, and efforts are under way to determine if this effect is a result of specific or nonspecific interactions.

**Further Evaluation of the “Slow Phase” Using Improved Conditions.** In the rest of this paper, we report the use of the two better substrates monitored by 2-AP fluorescence to reevaluate the results obtained previously for the 20/36AP substrate monitored by a combination of Trp and 2-AP fluorescence (21, 24). This section focuses on the rate of the slow phase and its dependence on Mg$^{2+}$ and dNTP, while the next section focuses on the fast phase.

The Mg$^{2+}$ and dNTP dependence of the slow phase was examined via a series of stopped-flow titrations. Figure 7 shows the fluorescence traces for the nucleotide dependence...
of the 19/36AP substrate. The data were analyzed by fitting the slow phase (starting times \( > 6 \times t_{1/2} \) of the fast phase) of the stopped-flow data to a single exponential. The rate of the slow phase showed a hyperbolic dependence on both Mg\(^{2+}\) and dNTP concentration for both the 19/36AP and the 18/36AP substrates. A saturation curve was constructed by plotting rate vs [ligand], which was then fit to eq 2. An example of such fitting is shown in the inset of Figure 7. The results are summarized in Table 1. These results are comparable to those previously reported for the 20/36AP substrate, a \( K_{d,app} \) of 13 ± 2 \( \mu M \) for TTP and a \( K_{d,app} \) of 1.4 ± 0.2 \( \mu M \) for the catalytic Mg\(^{2+}\) (21, 24). In the absence of Mg\(^{2+}\), no fluorescence change was observed for any substrate. The results confirm that the slow phase occurs after the formation of the ternary complex, since it shows dependence on both dNTP and Mg\(^{2+}\).

The rate of the slow phase was then compared with the \( k_{pol} \) determined by a rapid chemical quench assay for both the 18/36AP and 19/36AP substrates. Figure 8 shows representative data for the 19/36AP substrate. In Figure 8A, the rate of product formation at different dNTP concentrations was fit to a single-exponential equation (eq 1). The rate was then plotted as a function of dATP concentration and fit to a hyperbolic equation (eq 2) as shown in Figure 8B. The results are summarized in Table 1. These results indicate that the \( k_{pol} \) values are almost identical to the corresponding maximal rates of the second phase reported in the preceding paragraph. Figure 9 shows a graphical comparison of the two results for the 19/36AP substrate. For easier comparison, the stopped-flow data were inverted and normalized to the amount of product formed in the rapid quench assay. This was accomplished by fitting the second phase (starting times \( > 6 \times t_{1/2} \) of the fast phase) of the stopped-flow data to a single exponential (eq 1) and scaling the derived amplitude to the total amount of product formed in the rapid chemical quench assay. These results agree with our previously published results for the 20/36AP substrate, which shows that the slow phase of fluorescence changes corresponds to the rate of product formation (21, 25).

Further Evaluation of the “Fast Phase” Using Improved Conditions. In the dideoxy-terminated primer experiment reported previously for the 20dd/36AP substrate (Figure 1B),

### Table 1: Summary of Kinetic Constants Obtained from Stopped-Flow and Chemical Quench Assays (pH 8.0 and 37°C)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DNA substrate</th>
<th>variable</th>
<th>( k_{max} ) or ( k_{pol}(\text{s}^{-1}) )</th>
<th>( K_{d,app} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>18/36AP</td>
<td>stopped flow</td>
<td>dCTP 12.2 ± 2.1 27 ± 8 ( \mu M )</td>
<td>( K_{d,app} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(slow phase)</td>
<td>Mg(^{2+}) 12.5 ± 1.8 0.60 ± 0.25 mM</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>chemical quench</td>
<td>dCTP 12.7 ± 1.8 33 ± 7 ( \mu M )</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mg(^{2+}) 13.1 ± 3.1 0.70 ± 0.15 mM</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19/36AP</td>
<td>stopped flow</td>
<td>dATP 15.5 ± 2.1 46 ± 10 ( \mu M )</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(slow phase)</td>
<td>Mg(^{2+}) 15.2 ± 2.4 1.0 ± 0.3 mM</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>chemical quench</td>
<td>dATP 15.6 ± 2.6 42 ± 15 ( \mu M )</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mg(^{2+}) 16.1 ± 3.3 0.90 ± 0.22 mM</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
we showed that the fast phase can be further resolved into two phases: 260 and 44 s⁻¹ (9). However, we were uncertain about its reproducibility (since the fastest phase is partly completed during the dead time of the instrument, ca. 1.8 ms). We have now performed similar experiments on the 18dd/36AP and 19dd/36AP substrates by monitoring 2-AP fluorescence. The 18dd/36AP substrate was analyzed under low Mg²⁺ concentrations (3 mM), since the signal-to-noise ratio is better than at higher Mg²⁺ concentrations. Fluorescence time traces were collected for both 2-AP (315 nm excitation) and tryptophan (285 nm excitation) emission. Figure 10 shows a representative fluorescence curve with the 19/36AP substrate and monitoring 2-AP fluorescence. In both cases, the slow phase was not observed, supporting the previous interpretation that the slow phase does not represent a rate-limiting conformational change before the chemical step. For 18dd/36AP, the tryptophan emission fits well to a single-exponential equation with a rate of 96 ± 19 s⁻¹, and the 2-AP emission fits best to a double-exponential equation with rates of 293 ± 60 and 67 ± 15 s⁻¹. For the 19dd/36AP DNA, the tryptophan emission fits well to a single-exponential equation with a rate of 106 ± 33 s⁻¹, and 2-AP emission fit best to a double-exponential equation with rates of 370 ± 30 and 66 ± 8 s⁻¹. These results are similar to those that our laboratory previously reported for the 20dd/36AP substrate.

To further understand the nature of the two fast phases, Mg²⁺ concentration dependence was examined using the 19dd/36AP substrate. The rate of both fast phases showed no dependence on Mg²⁺ concentration (300 μM–10 mM). These results support that the fluorescence changes of both fast phases occur before binding of the catalytic metal, since they are not affected by the binding of the catalytic metal. While previous studies have suggested that the fast phase corresponds to the domain-closing conformational change (E to E’ in Scheme 1) observed in crystal structures (9), it is not known which of the two fast phases, or both of them, corresponds to this conformational change.

**Stopped-Flow Study of the Reverse Reaction with 19/36AP.** The reverse reaction, also known as pyrophosphorolysis, is initiated by the addition of pyrophosphate (PPi) and Mg²⁺ to the binary complex (E·DNA). The stopped-flow fluorescence of the reverse reaction has been examined previously with 21/36AP (24). In this work it was examined with 20/36AP (corresponding to 19/36AP in the forward reaction) DNA substrate, and the result is shown in Figure 11. The curve fits well to a three-exponential term equation (eq 2) and provided three rates of 47 ± 8, 10 ± 3, and 0.03 ± 0.008 s⁻¹. These results agree, within a factor of 2, to the three rates reported previously (72 ± 3.6, 6 ± 2, and 0.062 ± 0.002 s⁻¹, respectively). The slowest rate of fluorescence change occurs at a rate similar to that of product formation (0.04 ± 0.01 s⁻¹), as determined by chemical quench assay (data not shown). Further studies are required to interpret the results quantitatively, particularly since multiple turnover reactions can occur for the reverse reaction. Our tentative interpretation is that the fastest phase corresponds to the E to E’ conformational change in the reverse reaction, while the slowest phase corresponds to E’ to E, which is limited by the chemical step. The magnitude of the fluorescence change is also smaller in the reverse reaction, suggesting a lower population of highly fluorescent species (as seen in the forward reaction).
mismatch had a fluorescence change too weak to interpret (24). The 19/36AP curves in Figure 12 show a very small fast phase (~300 s⁻¹, but only an approximate number due to the weak signal) and two very slow fluorescence changes (~0.4 and ~0.1 s⁻¹) for each incorrect nucleotide incorporation. The nature of the two very slow phases has yet to be established. It can, however, be reasonably assumed that one will reflect the rate of chemistry, since the rates are similar to those previously determined for incorrect nucleotide incorporation (41). The small amplitude of the fast phase suggests that the mismatches form a closed ternary complex in a very low population or form a closed ternary complex with substantially reduced fluorescence, relative to the correct nucleotide. Additional experiments are required to clarify these two possibilities. In any case, our results indicate that the fast phase, which was suggested to represent the subdomain-closing conformational change (24), is perturbed in the reaction with incorrect dNTP in different ways depending on the nature of the mismatch and the DNA substrate used, while the slow phase always corresponds to the rate of the chemical step. These results fully support our notion that the fidelity of Pol β is controlled by the chemical step instead of the subdomain-closing conformational change (42).

**Conclusions.** The current study has expanded the scope of stopped-flow fluorescence studies of Pol β by demonstrating two independent probes to study protein and DNA conformational changes. It has also expanded the ability to study multiple base pairs with one template and has identified a series of conditions that provide improved signal-to-noise ratios and sensitivity for stopped-flow assays. The results of kinetic studies by use of the new conditions have provided further support and additional detail to the kinetic scheme shown in Scheme 1. This study also paves the way for more detailed future studies focusing on base mismatches, nucleotide analogues, site-directed mutants, and other polymerases, which will provide new insights into the mechanism of polymerase fidelity.
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